Samurai and Knights: Were the Similarities Greater Than the Differences?

Overview: More than a thousand years ago, a class of professional warriors arose, who swore oaths of loyalty to noble lords and fought to the death to defend them in battle. Interestingly, this happened at about the same time in both Japan and Europe, even though the regions were thousands of miles apart. The Japanese called their warriors samurai; the Europeans called their warriors knights. This Mini-Q asks you to compare the two.

The Documents:

Document A: Two Feudal Class Systems
Document B: Loyalty to the Feudal Lord in Japan and Europe
Document C: Armor of Japanese and European Warriors
Document D: Military Training of Samurai and Knights
Document E: Codes of Honor
Document F: Feelings About Death

A Mini Document Based Question (Mini-Q)
Samurai and Knights: Were the Similarities Greater Than the Differences?

You live in a country with a weak government and an even weaker army. During your ancestors’ time, an emperor ruled and kept the country together. Now, however, the central government has lost power, and dangers lurk beyond every moat and castle wall. Warlords fight each other to see who can control the most territory. Warriors from other lands threaten to invade and destroy your way of life. How can men, women, and children in your region protect themselves?

About one thousand years ago, people in two societies halfway around the world from each other faced this problem. In Europe, the mighty western Roman Empire had fallen in the late 400s, leaving a continent divided among weak kingdoms. A new western European empire arose briefly but it, too, soon fell apart. On the other side of the globe, Japanese emperors and the imperial court was challenged by the rise of clans. Like Europe, Japan found itself in pieces.

To keep order in the land, both regions developed a system that historians call feudalism. Lords acquired large estates of land. They granted some of their lands to lesser nobles who promised to fight for the lords when conflicts arose. In turn, these nobles trained warriors who, in exchange for farmland or food and lodging, swore to be loyal to the nobles and to defend them. The warriors of Europe were called knights. The warriors of Japan were called samurai. At the bottom of the feudal system in both Japan and Europe were masses of peasants who farmed the land and provided food for the classes above them.

During the late 1100s in Japan, two large military clans called the Taira and the Minamoto fought to control the country. The Minamoto won the civil war and set up a new government headed by a powerful general called the shogun. It is fair to think of the shogun as the top samurai, the head man of the samurai warrior class. Over the next several hundred years, various shoguns rose and fell. Each ruled the country in the name of the emperor—yet the shogun really held the reins of power.

Europe never developed a position quite like the shogun. Instead, because most of Europe had converted to Christianity, the Catholic Church acted to unify the many kingdoms. The Pope, head of the Church, held power over rulers because he could excommunicate, or ban, them from the Church. On some occasions in England, France, and what is now Germany, lords gathered together a following of knights and answered the Pope’s call to go on crusade against the Muslims in the Holy Land. At other times, conflict was local, pitting lord against lord, or France against England. The important idea is that from roughly 1000 to 1600 CE in Japan and Europe, samurai horsemen and knight horsemen were the muscle and the soul of the warrior class.

The ideas of soul and honor were very important to both groups. European knights developed a code of behavior called chivalry, which blended Christian ideals with military values. In Japan, the samurai warriors developed a code of honor called bushido. Bushido blended the Confucian values of obedience and duty with the Buddhist belief that life is temporary and full of suffering. The way to avoid suffering was by giving up selfish desires.

In this Mini-Q, you will learn more about the society, warfare, armor, codes of honor, and beliefs of these two warrior classes. Then you will answer the question before us: Samurai and knights: Were the similarities greater than the differences?
Background Essay Questions

1. What conditions led to the development of feudalism in both Western Europe and Japan?

2. In the feudal system in both Europe and Japan, what did lesser nobles give to lords in exchange for grants of land?

3. What military figure existed in Japan but not in Europe?

4. What religious figure existed in Europe but not in Japan?

5. What different religions or belief systems influenced people in the two regions?

6. Define each of the following:

   clan

   feudalism

   knight

   samurai

   shogun

   chivalry

   bushido

Timeline


1099 – European knights join First Crusade and capture Jerusalem.

1192 – Minamoto Yoritomi becomes the first shogun. Samurai class on the rise.

1215 – English barons force King John to sign the Magna Carta, protecting their rights.

1274 – Mongols attempt to invade Japan but fail because of a storm.

1347 – The plague kills about one-fourth of the European population.

1337 – Hundred Years’ War fought between France and England begins.

1500s – Guns and bullets bring an end to fighting in armor plate. Knights fade from history.

1603 – Tokugawa shogunate begins 260 years of relative order to Japan. Many samurai become bureaucrats.

1868 – Samurai class officially ends in Japan.
Document A

Source: Charts created from various sources.

Note: Historians and other scholars use social pyramids to show how societies were structured. The people with more status, power, and wealth are at the top of the pyramid. Usually, the less status, power, and wealth a group has, the lower they rank on the pyramid.

Social Order in Feudal Japan

- Emperor: symbolic power only
- Shogun: military dictator, more power than emperor
- Daimyos: feudal lords who often fought each other, Est. 250 in 1600
- Samurai: warriors who owed loyalty and military service to daimyos for land or regular payment. With families, made up about 10% of Japan’s population
- Peasant farmers: about 80% of population
- Artisans/tradespeople
- Merchants: low in social status; quite high in wealth

Social Order in Feudal Europe

- Pope: head of Catholic Church
- King
- Lords: received land from king and owed military service
- Lesser lords: received land from wealthier lords and owed military service
- Knights: warriors who owed loyalty and military service to lord for land; estimated 12,000 knights in England and Normandy France in 12th century
- Artisans/Merchants
- Peasant serfs: bound to the land; owed part of crops to knights or lord. Most of population

Document Analysis

1. What is the purpose of drawing a social pyramid?

2. What group in Japan was like lords in Europe?

3. In Japan, what was the relationship between samurai and daimyos?

4. In Europe, what was the relationship between knights and lords?

5. Based just on this document, were the similarities between samurai and knights greater than the differences? Provide evidence that supports your answer.
Loyalty towards the feudal lord in Japan was hereditary. Service of a feudal lord went from father to son, so that the relations of lord and (samurai) existed between many families for generations. This arrangement was at the same time a voluntary one, in that there was no (legal) binding agreement between the two parties. The European feudal contract, which was a legal document spelling out the obligations of lord and vassal respectively, never existed in Japan.

...It became common to speak of the samurai’s allegiance to his lord as lasting the duration of three lives... his past existence, his present one, and the next life.... The life of a samurai was not his own but belonged entirely to his lord, and it was the duty of a (samurai) to consider this at all times.... Not only the life of a samurai was at the disposal of his feudal lord, but also those of his wife and children....

Note: To receive a grant of land, an English or French nobleman knelt before his lord and pledged loyalty and military support. In return, the lord swore an oath of protection and granted a fief, or piece of land. This vassal thus became a lord and, if his landholding was big enough, could grant pieces of his new estate to vassal knights for the same oath of loyalty. (It took a fief of about 600 acres to enable a knight to support himself, his horses, his armor, his squire, and his family if he had one.) Unlike Japan, this feudal arrangement was usually not hereditary. The son of a knight was not obligated to become a knight and could discontinue the contract.

Document Analysis

1. Where was the relationship between lord and warrior hereditary? What does that mean?

2. What evidence is there that the bond between lord and samurai in Japan was strong?

3. About how many acres might be required to support a knight in western Europe?

4. Based on the document, what was an important similarity between samurai and knights?

5. Based on the document, what was an important difference between samurai and knights?
Document C

The rigorous training (of samurai) ... began in childhood.... (S)chool was a unique combination of physical training ... poetry and spiritual discipline. The young warriors studied Kendo (the art of fencing with bamboo sticks), the moral code of the samurai, and Zen Buddhism. (At about age 14 the trainees officially became samurai in a ceremony called genpuku.) Samurai were expected to live according to Bushido, a strict ethical code influenced by Confucianism that stressed loyalty to one’s master, respect for one’s superior, ethical behavior in all aspects of life, and complete self-discipline. Girls also received martial arts training.... [Though samurai women] did not fight on the battlefield, they were prepared to defend their homes against invaders.

Source: Adapted from the PBS series “Warrior Challenge,” 2003.

[A] prospective knight’s training [began at age four or five] with learning to ride a pony. By the age of seven or eight, he would be sent to serve as a page to his father’s overlord or to a powerful relative. There [he ran errands and practiced] with blunted or wooden swords ... refining his [horse] skills and receiving some religious instruction.... (B) y the age of 14, pages were eligible [to become] a squire. Squires continued with weapons training ... but were already considered to be fighting men. [They would accompany] their master knight into battle, dress him, feed him ... care for his horses and hope ... they would be deemed worthy. If a squire [gained approval from] an examining knight, he would usually [become] a knight at around the age of 21.

Note: A knight’s training, especially in 12th-century France, produced knights who were skilled poets. Called troubadour because they traveled the country, a number of French knights made their living reciting poetry to an audience.

Document Analysis

1. What are three examples of the kind of training received by young samurai hopefuls?

2. What are three examples of the kind of training received by pages hoping to become knights?

3. In what ceremony and at what age was a Japanese trainee inducted into the samurai class?

4. At what age did a European page become a squire? When did a squire become a knight?

5. Based on the document, what were the main similarities between samurai and knights?

6. Based on this document, what were the main differences between samurai and knights?
In samurai armor (left), small iron scales were tied together, lacquered, and then bound into armor plates with silk or leather cords. The helmet bowl was made of 8 to 12 iron plates, and below it was a 5-piece neck guard. The body of the armor had four parts. Samurai did not wear armor on their right arm so they could easily draw their bow. One disadvantage of samurai armor is that when it became wet, the silk cords absorbed water and became very heavy.

During the 1200s, knights wore armor made of chain mail: tiny metal rings linked together. As more powerful weapons such as crossbows and longbows became common, chain mail was no longer sufficient protection. In response, knights began to wear complete suits of plate armor, constructed from metal. Not only was the entire body covered, but knights also wore helmets, gloves, and shoes of steel. Even horses wore armor. A knight's armor could weigh 40 to 60 pounds. The development of guns ended the use of armor. Any armor thick enough to stop a bullet would be too heavy for a person to wear.

Document Analysis
1. What is the main purpose of suits of armor?

2. Both the samurai and knights generally fought on horseback. Who would have been in the most trouble if he was knocked from his horse or his horse was killed? Explain your thinking.

3. Military historians speak of the difference between shock warfare (striking the enemy with weapons like swords, lances, or axes) and projectile warfare (shooting or throwing arrows, javelins, and bullets). Judging from the armor, which kind of warfare was fought by samurai and knights? In each case, explain your thinking.

4. Based on the document, what was an important similarity between samurai and knight armor?

5. Based on the document, what was an important difference between samurai and knight armor?
Document E


Note: Both Japanese samurai and European knights were expected to abide by a code of honor. In Japan, this code came to be called bushido. In Europe a similar code developed that is generally called the code of chivalry.

**The Code of Bushido**

The business of the samurai consists...in discharging loyal service to his master if he has one,* in deepening his fidelity [loyalty] in associations with friends, and... in devoting himself to duty above all. However, in one’s own life, one becomes unavoidably involved in obligations between father and child, older and younger brother, and husband and wife.... The samurai confines himself to practicing the Way; should there be someone...who transgresses [does wrong] against these moral principles, the samurai summarily punishes him and thus upholds proper moral principles in the land.... (O)utwardly he stands in physical readiness for any call to service and inwardly he strives to fulfill the Way.... Within his heart he keeps to the ways of peace, but without he keeps his weapons ready for use.

* Not all samurai had masters. Masterless samurai were called ronin and could be a problem.

**The Code of Chivalry**

With great ceremony each [knight] took the vows of true knighthood, solemnly promising to do no wicked deed, to be loyal to the King, to give mercy to those asking it, always to be courteous and helpful to ladies, and to fight in no wrongful quarrel for worldly gain, upon pain of death or forfeiture [loss] of knighthood and King Arthur’s favour. Unto this were all the knights of the Round Table sworn, both old and young. To dishonour knighthood was the greatest disgrace; to prove themselves worthy of knightly honour by strong, brave, courteous, loyal bearing under great difficulties was the highest end [goal] of living.

Document Analysis

1. What are the main characteristics of bushido? What are the main characteristics of chivalry?

2. Which code of honor was written first? What is the difference in years between the writing of these codes?

3. What should a samurai do if there is a conflict between dealing with a family problem and one involving the people he serves?

4. Based on the document, what were the main similarities between samurai and knights?

5. Based on the document, what was the main difference between samurai and knights?
Document F

Sources: Poems written by Samurai circa 1400s; “The Song of Roland,” circa 1100.

Note: Japanese samurai and European knights had different views of death. These samurai poems, written just before death, show the influence of Zen Buddhism. “The Song of Roland,” a heroic epic poem about a French knight, shows the influence of Christianity and is the oldest surviving major work of French literature.

**Samurai Death Poems**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>One day you are born</th>
<th>Had I not known</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>you die the next —</td>
<td>that I was dead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>today,</td>
<td>already</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>at twilight,</td>
<td>I would have mourned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>autumn breezes blow.</td>
<td>my loss of life.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— Chikamasa (c. 1400s)</td>
<td>— Ota Dokan (1432-1486)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**A Knight’s Death**

Now Roland feels that the end of his life has come. He has lain down on a steep hill with his face toward Spain and with one hand he beats his breast:

“God, I acknowledge my guilt and I beg for Thy mercy for all the sins, greater and lesser, which I have committed from the hour of my birth until this day when I lie here overcome by death!”

He has held out his right glove to God.

Angels descend out of heaven and come to him.

— *The Song of Roland, author unknown.*

**Document Analysis**

1. What is the main idea of the first samurai poem?

2. What is the message about the relationship between life and death in the second samurai poem?

3. How would you describe the French knight’s view of life and death?

4. In general, were the responses to death of the samurai and the knight similar or different? Explain.